

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Voginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 09/674,597 | 04/09/2001 | Zheng Xin Dong | 00537-169002 | 1308 | |
| 75 | 90 08/19/2003 | , | | | |
| Biomeasure Incorporated | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 27 Maple Street Milford, MA 0 | | | WEGERT, S | ANDRA L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1647 | " | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | _ | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/674,597 | | DONG ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Sandra Wegert | | 1647 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimur y period will apply and will expire SIX (by statute, cause the application to become statute, cause the application to become size. | may a reply be timen of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED | ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this c | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on <u>07 April 2003</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) | oxtimes This action is non-final. | • | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the app | lication. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-47 are subject to restriction a | ind/or election requirement | • | ٠ | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | • | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d | omestic priority under 35 U | .S.C. § 119(e |) (to a provisiona | l application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign langua | | | | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | , | • | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | 948) 5) 🔲 Not | tice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) O | ffice Action Summary | | Part of Paper No. 10 | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/674,597

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 9-15 and 23-29, drawn to a PTH2 receptor ligand.
- II. Claims 4, 5, 6, 8, 16-22 and 30-47, drawn to a method of treatment by administering a PTH2 receptor ligand.

Election/Restriction

Furthermore, a second restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 as follows:

When applicant elects from Inventions I or II (above), one PTH2 receptor ligand must also be selected to be considered responsive:

Applicant may specify the sequence/analogue by either:

SEQ ID NO: (e.g., SEQ ID NO: 18), or by naming each amino acid at each position in the sequence (e.g., "[Cha^{7,11}, D-Leu⁸, Nle¹⁸, Tyr³⁴]hPTH(1-34)NH₂").

Election/Restriction

Furthermore, a third restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 as follows:

If Applicant elects from Invention II (above), one medical disorder must also be selected to be considered responsive:

Choose one:

- A) abnormal CNS functions,
- abnormal pancreatic functions, B)
- divergence from normal mineral metabolism and homeostasis, C)
- male infertility, D)
- abnormal blood pressure, or E)
- F) a hypothalamic disease.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The first claimed invention lacks a special technical feature because it fails to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art (e.g., Usdin, T., 1995, J. Biol. Chem. 138(2): 831-834). The prior art discloses a PTH2 receptor ligand that is not PTH (see [D-Trp¹²]bPTH(7-34) in Figure 4); this meets the limitations of the "PTH analogue" recited in the first claimed invention. Therefore, none of the other claimed inventions can share a special technical feature with the first claimed invention.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the Application/Control Number: 09/674,597

Art Unit: 1647

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the PTH2 receptor ligand can be used to generate antibodies.

Furthermore, each PTH2 receptor analogue is patentably distinct from every other because each has a different putative function, a different structure, and requires completely different search terms, starting points and strategies

Each medical disorder is independent and distinct, each from the other, because the methods for their diagnosis are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each disorder listed requires a non-coextensive search because of different underlying etiologies, different diagnostic tests and protocols, different patients, different medical personnel and different treatment protocols and goals.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, separate search requirements, and different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the inventions to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1647

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(i).

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (703) 308-9346. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SLW

. August 12, 2003

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyabet C. Kemmen